



Cache Creek High School

14320 Second St./P.O. Box 388 • Yolo, CA 95697-0298 • (530) 662-4331 • Grades 9-12

Will Jarrell, Principal
william.jarrell@wjusd.org
www.cchs.wjused.org

2017-18 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2018-19 School Year



Woodland Joint Unified School District

435 Sixth Street
Woodland, CA 95695-4109
(530) 662-0201
www.wjused.org

District Governing Board

Debbie Decker, President
Morgan Childers, Vice President
Karen Rosenkilde-Bayne, Clerk
Deborah Bautista Zavala
Teresa Guerrero
Rogelio Villagrana
Vacant Position

District Administration

Thomas Pritchard
Superintendent
Elodia Ortega-Lampkin
Associate Superintendent
Educational Services

Lewis Wiley, Jr.
Assistant Superintendent
Business Services

Danyel Conolley
Senior Director
Human Resource Services

Principal's Message

Our staff, students, and parents work together to provide a positive learning environment that supports academic and social growth for all students. Teachers work diligently to provide students with a relevant curriculum that supports college and career options for all students.

Our mission: To provide a safe, supportive environment that fosters positive behavior, personal responsibility and academic growth while addressing individual student needs.

CCHS provides tiered interventions academically, and has a robust Positive Behavior Interventions and Support (PBIS) program. The school-wide focus is for students to be "Present, Positive, Productive, and Reflective."

Community and District Profile

Woodland is located in Yolo County and has a rich heritage of community spirit and neighborly charm. With a population of more than 56,000 people, the city is situated twenty miles from downtown Sacramento and 85 miles from San Francisco. Woodland Joint Unified School District includes six preschools, eleven elementary schools, one charter elementary school, two middle schools, two traditional senior high schools, a continuation high school, and an adult school, and serves approximately 10,550 students.

Cache Creek High School is WJUSD's continuation high school and serves students who may not be meeting graduation requirements in the traditional high school setting. The school operates on a traditional calendar, an average of approximately 150 students enrolled in grades eleven and twelve. Enrollment fluctuates throughout the school year and the school usually serves double the October enrollment number reported to the CDE.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

| 2017-18 Student Enrollment by Grade Level | |
|---|--------------------|
| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| Grade 10 | 2 |
| Grade 11 | 43 |
| Grade 12 | 91 |
| Total Enrollment | 136 |

| 2017-18 Student Enrollment by Group | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Black or African American | 3.7 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.0 |
| Asian | 1.5 |
| Filipino | 0.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 78.7 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0.7 |
| White | 14.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 84.6 |
| English Learners | 17.6 |
| Students with Disabilities | 16.2 |
| Foster Youth | 2.2 |

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

| Teacher Credentials | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Cache Creek High School | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| With Full Credential | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Woodland Joint Unified School District | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| With Full Credential | ♦ | ♦ | 474 |
| Without Full Credential | ♦ | ♦ | 19 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | ♦ | ♦ | 10 |

| Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Cache Creek High School | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2018-19)

Woodland Joint Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 27, 2018 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in September 2018, regarding textbooks in use during the 2018-2019 school year.

| Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: September 2018 | |
|--|---|
| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption |
| Reading/Language Arts | Holt, Rinehart, & Winston Adopted 2002 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0% |
| Mathematics | Big Ideas Math/Houghton Mifflin Adopted 2016 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0% |
| Science | Globe Fearon Adopted 2002 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0% |
| History-Social Science | Pearson Prentice Hall Adopted 2006 McDougall Littell Adopted 2006 Glencoe McGraw Hill Adopted 2000 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0% |
| Science Laboratory Equipment | N/A The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: N/A |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Cache Creek High School, originally constructed in 1926, offers a safe and secure campus for students, staff, and visitors. The school is currently comprised of 9 classrooms, a cafeteria, library and office. Four of the classrooms are portable buildings. Facility information is current as of July 17, 2018.

Cleaning Process

The principal works daily with the custodial staff to ensure regular and continual maintenance of the school's physical environment.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and highest priority to emergency repairs. At time of publication 100% of restrooms on campus were in working order.

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 7/17/2018 | | |
|---|---------------|--|
| System Inspected | Repair Status | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | Good | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | Poor | Administration: 4: (D) Ceiling tiles are stained Room 02: 4: (D) Ceiling tiles are stained Room 05: 4: (D) Floor tiles are missing damaged, or loose 10: (D) Fire extinguisher is missing Room 07: 4: (D) Ceiling tiles missing, damaged or loose 10: (D) Fire extinguisher is not properly mounted Room 11: 4: (D) Ceiling tiles missing, damaged or loose 6: (D) Bird droppings evident Maintenance and Operations Work Orders #32911, #32920, #32921, #32924, and #32928 |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | Good | Room 11: 4: (D) Ceiling tiles missing, damaged or loose 6: (D) Bird droppings evident Room 12: 6: Birds droppings on hand rails (D) Evidence of birds or nests Maintenance and Operations Work Orders #32928 and #32929 |
| Electrical: Electrical | Fair | Library: 7: (D) Improper usage of extension cords or extension cord trip hazard Room 06: 7: (D) Lighting covers are missing, damaged, or loose Room 10: 7: (D) Lighting covers are missing, damaged, or loose 10: (D) Fire extinguisher is missing Maintenance and Operations Work Orders #32914, #32922, and #32927 |

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/17/2018

| System Inspected | Repair Status | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|--|---------------|---|
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | Poor | Mens: 9: Trim coming off Womens: 9: Outside fountain trim coming off Maintenance and Operations Work Orders #32916 and #32931 |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | Fair | Room 05: 4: (D) Floor tiles are missing damaged, or loose 10: (D) Fire extinguisher is missing Room 07: 4: (D) Ceiling tiles missing, damaged or loose 10: (D) Fire extinguisher is not properly mounted Room 10: 7: (D) Lighting covers are missing, damaged, or loose 10: (D) Fire extinguisher is missing Maintenance and Operations Work Orders #32921, #32924, and #32927 |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | Good | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | Fair | Basketball Courts: 14: Lots of cracks (D) Significant cracks, trip hazards, holes or deterioration Maintenance and Operations Assessing |
| Overall Rating | Fair | |

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

| 2017-18 CAASPP Results for All Students | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) | | | | | |
| | School | | District | | State | |
| | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| ELA | 7.0 | 6.0 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 48.0 | 50.0 |
| Math | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 29.0 | 37.0 | 38.0 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the

total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

| CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) | | | | | |
| | School | | District | | State | |
| | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 16-17 | 17-18 |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: The 2016–17 and 2017–18 data are not available. The CDE is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The CAST was pilot-tested in spring 2017 and field-tested in spring 2018. The CAST will be administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. The CAA for Science was pilot-tested for two years (i.e., 2016–17 and 2017–18) and the CAA for Science will be field-tested in 2018–19.

Note: Science test results include the CAST and the CAA for Science. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the CAST plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAA for Science divided by the total number of students who participated on both assessments.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

| Grade Level | 2017-18 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | |
|-------------|---|--------|--------|
| | 4 of 6 | 5 of 6 | 6 of 6 |
| | | | |

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA) Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 71 | 51 | 71.83 | 5.88 |
| Male | 50 | 37 | 74.00 | 8.11 |
| Female | 21 | 14 | 66.67 | 0.00 |
| Black or African American | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 52 | 37 | 71.15 | 5.41 |
| White | 12 | 10 | 83.33 | 10.00 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 61 | 43 | 70.49 | 6.98 |
| English Learners | 21 | 15 | 71.43 | 0.00 |
| Students with Disabilities | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2017-18 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

| Student Group | Total Enrollment | Number Tested | Percent Tested | Percent Met or Exceeded |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| All Students | 71 | 38 | 53.52 | 0 |
| Female | 21 | 10 | 47.62 | 0 |
| Black or African American | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Hispanic or Latino | 52 | 27 | 51.92 | 0 |
| White | 12 | 7 | 58.33 | 0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 61 | 33 | 54.1 | 0 |
| English Learners | 21 | 10 | 47.62 | 0 |
| Students with Disabilities | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2018-19)

The school district benefits from extensive support from parents and community members. Cache Creek High School is happy to provide opportunities for parents to volunteer their time. These opportunities include classroom and project volunteers, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), School Site Council (SSC), Thanksgiving Luncheon and the Spring Festival.

Parents are welcomed and encouraged to stop by CCHS to meet with office staff, counselor, teachers and the Principal to discuss their student's progress and to be a positive influence in their student's education. Our staff has recognized that parent involvement is one of the most important elements of school climate and the school encourages parental involvement in all elements of the education process.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Safety of students is a priority of the school staff. Students are supervised throughout the day by teachers. Visitors to the school must check in at the office and wear a visitor's badge while on campus.

Cache Creek High School's Site Safety Plan is revised each year by the School Site Council, which consists of the principal, teachers, other staff, parents, and students. Key elements of the Safety Plan include student safety, school climate, and emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with all laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and State earthquake standards. Emergency drills are conducted twice a year. In the event of an emergency, the school's Disaster Preparedness Plan has clearly defined procedures to accommodate crisis situations, and emergency supplies are available. The safety plan was last reviewed with staff and approved by School Site Council, December, 2017.

Suspensions and Expulsions

| School | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Suspensions Rate | 21.6 | 24.8 | 20.5 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| District | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| Suspensions Rate | 6.8 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| State | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| Suspensions Rate | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School

Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)

| Academic Counselor | 1 |
|---|-----|
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | .5 |
| Psychologist | .2 |
| Social Worker | 0 |
| Nurse | .2 |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0 |
| Resource Specialist (non-teaching) | .6 |
| Other | 2.4 |
| Average Number of Students per Staff Member | |
| Academic Counselor | 180 |

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | Average Class Size | | | Number of Classrooms* | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | 1-22 | | | 23-32 | | | 33+ | | |
| | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 |
| English | 8.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 20 | 30 | 49 | | | | | | |
| Mathematics | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 4 | 2 | 16 | | | | | | |
| Science | 12.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 7 | 3 | 4 | | 1 | | | | |
| Social Science | 12.0 | 10.0 | 9.0 | 15 | 18 | 22 | 1 | | | | | |

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Woodland Joint Unified School District provides time and resources for collaboration, planning, and professional development for all staff. Teachers at each school receive training directly related to curriculum and instruction by attending workshops and conferences that are approved by the district and administration. Staff development days enable staff members to deliver curriculum and instruction that is rigorous, challenging, and responsive to student needs. The development of a common district-wide instructional focus is allowing entry point for everyone to learn and stretch their practice. The focus of professional development has shifted to provide job-embedded coaching across multiple content areas to build capacity and focus on strengthening application of instructional strategies.

Woodland Joint Unified School District uses a variety of professional learning models to engage staff in their continued growth. During the school year and summer, staff have opportunities to complete professional development learning episodes and time to implement best practices learned. The professional growth occurring in Woodland Joint Unified School District has a heavy emphasis on collaboration with time reserved weekly for staff collaboration by sites in grade level or departmental teams under the direction of site administrators. Examples of recent Woodland Joint Unified School District professional learning include:

- Balanced Literacy and Guided Reading through Accelerated Literacy Learning, TK-6
- UC Davis Math Project: Focus on Conceptual Understanding, TK-12
- Ethnic Studies with the Acosta Group, Preschool-12
- UC Davis History Project: Understanding the History/Social Science Framework, TK-12
- Literacy for All Content Areas with Maria Losee, Grades 5-12
- Restorative Practices, TK-12
- Innovator Cohort: Google certification classes for teachers

| FY 2016-17 Teacher and Administrative Salaries | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$43,910 | \$47,547 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$62,933 | \$74,775 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$87,121 | \$93,651 |
| Average Principal Salary (ES) | \$106,757 | \$116,377 |
| Average Principal Salary (MS) | \$114,823 | \$122,978 |
| Average Principal Salary (HS) | \$121,527 | \$135,565 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$211,312 | \$222,853 |
| Percent of District Budget | | |
| Teacher Salaries | 36.0 | 35.0 |
| Administrative Salaries | 5.0 | 6.0 |

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

Woodland Joint Unified School District spent an average of \$11,330 to educate each student (based on 2016-17 audited financial statements).

Woodland Joint Unified School District receives State and federal categorical funding for special programs. For the 2017-2018 school year, the District received State and federal funding for the following categorical, special education, and support programs: ASES, Counseling Grant, Federal Special Education, Federal Vocational and Applied Secondary, Lottery, Agriculture Vocational Grant, Elementary Counseling Grant, Title I, Title II, Title III, Special Ed-State, Medi-Cal, Small Learning Communities, Partnership Academies

| Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Cache Creek High School | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Dropout Rate | 19.0 | 18.9 | 18.6 |
| Graduation Rate | 68.4 | 66.2 | 67.6 |
| Woodland Joint Unified School District | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Dropout Rate | 5.8 | 6.5 | 5.7 |
| Graduation Rate | 90.4 | 90.9 | 88.3 |
| California | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 |
| Dropout Rate | 10.7 | 9.7 | 9.1 |
| Graduation Rate | 82.3 | 83.8 | 82.7 |

| FY 2016-17 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | Average Teacher Salary |
| | Total | Restricted | Unrestricted | |
| School Site | \$7,288 | \$338 | \$6,950 | \$58,464 |
| District | ◆ | ◆ | \$4,126 | \$66,356 |
| State | ◆ | ◆ | \$7,125 | \$76,522 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/District | | | 68.4 | -4.1 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/ State | | | 30.0 | -15.6 |

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

| Career Technical Education Participation | |
|--|---------------------------|
| Measure | CTE Program Participation |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 0 |
| % of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | 0 |
| % of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education | 0 |

| Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission | |
|--|---------|
| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| 2017-18 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 16.9 |
| 2016-17 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 0.0 |

* Where there are student course enrollments.

| 2017-18 Advanced Placement Courses | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| Computer Science | 0 | ◆ |
| English | 0 | ◆ |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 0 | ◆ |
| Foreign Language | 0 | ◆ |
| Mathematics | 0 | ◆ |
| Science | 0 | ◆ |
| Social Science | 0 | ◆ |
| All courses | 0 | .0 |

| Completion of High School Graduation Requirements | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|-------|
| Group | Graduating Class of 2017 | | |
| | School | District | State |
| All Students | 90.9 | 93.3 | 88.7 |
| Black or African American | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.2 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.0 | 100.0 | 82.8 |
| Asian | 0.0 | 100.0 | 94.9 |
| Filipino | 0.0 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 95.3 | 93.7 | 86.5 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.6 |
| White | 72.7 | 89.3 | 92.1 |
| Two or More Races | 100.0 | 90.9 | 91.2 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 97.1 | 95.5 | 88.6 |
| English Learners | 66.7 | 52.7 | 56.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 75.0 | 88.7 | 67.1 |
| Foster Youth | 100.0 | 75.0 | 74.1 |

Career Technical Education Programs

It is the goal of Cache Creek High School for students to understand the importance of academic subjects and to experience how employees apply subject learning to work situations. Cache Creek High School does not provide a vocational program, however students are encouraged to participate in Woodland High School and Pioneer High School's ROP programs. The school's program focuses on preparing students to think conceptually, communicate effectively, and apply skills in real-world contexts.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.